

Government of Jammu & Kashmir
Forest, Ecology & Environment Department,
Civil Secretariat, Srinagar/Jammu

Subject:- Consideration of claim of Sh. Gian Singh, S/o Sh. Anant Ram, R/o Muttal Tehsil & District Udhampur in compliance with the order dated 24.03.2022, passed by the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, in O.A No. 265/2022 titled Gian Singh Vs UT of J&K and others.

**Government Order No: 290-JK(FST) of 2024
Dated: 06 .12.2024**

Whereas, the applicant namely Sh. Gian Singh, S/o Sh. Anant Ram, R/o Muttal, Tehsil & District Udhampur had approached the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, through the medium of Original Application that came to be numbered as O.A No. 265/2022 titled Gian Singh Vs UT of J&K and others, seeking regularization of their services in terms of SRO 64 of 1994;

Whereas, the aforesaid O.A came to be disposed of by the Hon'ble Tribunal, vide its order dated 24.03.2022, operative part whereof reads as under:-

"...Considering the above submissions of counsels for the parties, this O.A. is disposed of at the admission stage, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, directing the respondents to treat a copy of this O.A as representation of the applicant as per provisions of SRO 64 of 1994 and decide it with a reasoned order within four weeks from date of receipt of certified copy of this order and within one week thereafter to communicate it to the applicant. No costs."

Whereas, the applicant filed contempt petition that came to be numbered as C.P No. 129/2022 seeking implementation of aforesaid order dated 24.03.2022 passed in O.A No. 265/2022 titled Gian Singh Vs UT of J&K and others;

Whereas, the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Jammu & Kashmir was requested to furnish all the requisite documents related to the applicants case for examination/consideration;

Whereas, the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests vide his office communication No. PCCF/NG/Estt/1456 dated 17.05.2024 furnished the requisite information to the Forest, Ecology & Environment Department;

Whereas, the case of the applicants were examined and it has been found that the applicant has been engaged as Casual Labour and the sub-rule

G
Chalm